

§ 518.74

a portion of records withheld by an IDA, a copy of the records so released should be forwarded promptly to the requester after compliance with any preliminary procedural requirements, such as payment of fees.

(b) Final refusal to provide a requested record or to approve a request for waiver or reduction of fees must be made in writing by the head of the DoD Component or by a designated representative. The response, at a minimum, shall include the following:

(1) The basis for the refusal shall be explained to the requester, in writing, both with regard to the applicable statutory exemptions or exemption invoked under provisions of this regulation.

(2) When the final refusal is based in whole or in part on a security classification, the explanation shall include a determination that the record meets the cited criteria and rationale of the governing Executive Order, and that this determination is based on a declassification review, with the explanation of how that review confirmed the continuing validity of the security classification.

(3) The final denial shall include the name and title or position of the official responsible for the denial.

(4) The response shall advise the requester that the material being denied does not contain meaningful portions that are reasonably segregable.

(5) The response shall advise the requester of the right to judicial review.

§ 518.74 Consultation.

(a) Final refusal, involving issues not previously resolved or that the DoD Component knows to be inconsistent with rulings of other DoD Components, ordinarily should not be made before consultation with the Office of the General Counsel of the Department of Defense.

(b) Tentative decisions to deny records that raise new or significant legal issues of potential significance to other agencies of the government shall be provided to the Department of Justice, ATTN: Office of Legal Policy, Office of Information and Policy, Washington, DC 20530.

32 CFR Ch. V (7-1-03 Edition)

JUDICIAL ACTIONS

§ 518.75 General.

(a) This section states current legal and procedural rules for the convenience of the reader. The statements of rules do not create rights or remedies not otherwise available, nor do they bind the Department of Defense to particular judicial interpretations or procedures.

(b) A requester may seek an order from a United States District Court to compel release of a record after administrative remedies have been exhausted; i.e., when refused a record by the head of a Component or an appellate designee or when the DoD Component has failed to respond within the time limits prescribed by the FOIA and in this Regulation.

§ 518.76 Jurisdiction.

The requester may bring suit in the United States District Court in the district in which the requester resides or is the requester's place of business, in the district in which the record is located, or in the District of Columbia.

§ 518.77 Burden of proof.

The burden of proof is on the DoD Component to justify its refusal to provide a record. The court shall evaluate the case *de novo* (anew) and may elect to examine any requested record in camera (in private) to determine whether the denial was justified.

§ 518.78 Action by the court.

(a) When a DoD Component has failed to make a determination within the statutory time limits but can demonstrate due diligence in exceptional circumstances, the court may retain jurisdiction and allow the Component additional time to complete its review of the records.

(b) If the court determines that the requester's complaint is substantially correct, it may require the United States to pay reasonable attorney fees and other litigation costs.

(c) When the court orders the release of denied records, it may also issue a written finding that the circumstances surrounding the withholding raise

Department of the Army, DoD

§ 518.82

questions whether DoD Component personnel acted arbitrarily and capriciously. In these cases, the special counsel of the Merit Systems Protection Board shall conduct an investigation to determine whether or not disciplinary action is warranted. The DoD Component is obligated to take the action recommended by the special counsel.

(d) The court may punish the responsible official for contempt when a DoD Component fails to comply with the court order to produce records that it determines have been withheld improperly.

§ 518.79 Non-United States Government source information.

A requester may bring suit in a U.S. District Court to compel the release of records obtained from a nongovernment source or records based on information obtained from a nongovernment source. Such source shall be notified promptly of the court action. When the source advises that it is seeking court action to prevent release, the DoD Component shall defer answering or otherwise pleading to the complainant as long as permitted by the Court or until a decision is rendered in the court action of the source, whichever is sooner.

§ 518.80 Litigation status sheet.

FOIA managers at DoD Component level shall be aware of litigation under the FOIA. Such information will provide management insights into the use of the nine exemptions by Component personnel. The Litigation Status Sheet at appendix C provides a standard format for recording information concerning FOIA litigation and forwarding that information to the Office of the Secretary of Defense. Whenever a complaint under the FOIA is filed in a U.S. District Court, the DoD Component named in the complaint shall forward a Litigation Status Sheet, with items 1 through 6 completed, and a copy of the complaint to the OASD(PA), Attn: DFOISR, with an information copy to the General Counsel, Department of Defense, Attn: Office of Legal Counsel. A revised Litigation Status Sheet shall be provided at each stage of the litigation. In the Department of the Army,

HQDA TJAG (DAJA-LT), WASH DC 20310-2210 is responsible for preparing this report.

Subpart F—Fee Schedule

GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 518.81 Authorities.

The Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552), as amended; by the Freedom of Information Reform Act of 1986; the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 35); the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a); the Budget and Accounting Act of 1921 (31 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); the Budget and Accounting Procedures Act (31 U.S.C. 67 et seq.); the Defense Authorization Act for FY 87, Section 954, (Pub. L. 99-661); as amended by the Defense Technical Corrections Act of 1987 (Pub. L. 100-26).

§ 518.82 Application.

(a) The fees described in this subpart apply to FOIA requests, and conform to the Office of Management and Budget Uniform Freedom of Information Act Fee Schedule and Guidelines. They reflect direct costs for search, review (in the case of commercial requesters), and duplication of documents, collection of which is permitted by the FOIA. They are neither intended to imply that fees must be charged in connection with providing information to the public in the routine course of business, nor are they meant as a substitute for any other schedule of fees, such as DoD Instruction 7230.7 (reference (r)) (AR 37-60), which does not supersede the collection of fees under the FOIA. Nothing in this Chapter shall supersede fees chargeable under a statute specifically providing for setting the level of fees for particular types of records. A “statute specifically providing for setting the level of fees for particular types of records” (5 U.S.C. 552 (a)(4)(A)(vi)) means any statute that enables a Government Agency such as the Government Printing Office (GPO) or the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), to set and collect fees. Components should ensure that when documents that would be responsive to a request are maintained for distribution by agencies operating statutory-based fee schedule programs such as